## PRIVATE LATERAL PROGRAM QUESTIONNAIRE

The WEF Collection System Committee is primarily interested in successfully operating programs for work performed on the privately-owned portion of the lateral line; e.g., building service connection. We want to assemble policy descriptions, enabling resolutions or ordinances, funding details, public education/information materials, standard design or construction details, etc., for programs that have proven to be successful. This specific program documentation will be made available to other wastewater utilities through a virtual private property program on-line library.

While we are interested in "planned" programs, we want to first concentrate on programs that have been demonstrated to have been effectively implemented. Planned programs will be included in the virtual library after the program has been implemented and actual experience with the program is available.

Program descriptions of private lateral programs that were previously implemented, but considered "failed" or only "partially successful" will be included if the reasons for the problems with the program have been identified and can be included as a "lessons learned" component of the virtual library.

<b>Interview Conducted by WEF Representative:</b>			Name:	Jane McLamarrah			
			Date:	May 22, 2006			
1. General Information							
Utility Name & Location:	McMinnvil	le, Oregon		www.ci.mcminnville.or.us			
Contact Name & Details:	Rich Spoffe	ord, Project M	1anager	503/434-7312			
	230 Northeast Second Street spoffor@ci.mcminnville.or.us						
	<u>McMinnvil</u>	le, OR 97128	<u> </u>				
Utility Characteristics:	9,758	_ Number	of Custom	ers (with a population of $\approx 30,000$ )			
		Number	of Taps				
	900	_ Total Mi	les of Publ	ic Sanitary Sewers (separated sewers and combined sewers)			
	≈ 1%	Miles of Public Combined Sewers (sanitary only, not including storm sewers) (estimate % of system that is combined if total miles is unavailable or unknown)					
	$\frac{\approx 30\%}{\text{(Older homes)}}$ Are basements (thus potentially sump pump connections) typical in your area?						
	municipal	_ Utility T	ype (munic	sipal government, special purpose district, private utility, etc.)			
<b>2. Lateral Definition</b> Private Lateral Definition (check definition that app		Building to 1	ROW/Ease	ement Line Only			
(check definition that applies)		Treated the same					
		(Note if (& l	how) utility	treats laterals in easements differently than laterals in ROWs)			
		Building To Tap on Sewer Main Line					
		Other (Specify details)					
				y treats residential building laterals differently than commercial may affect responses to subsequent questions)			
Cleanouts: (check all that apply)	Required	Usually <u>Exists</u>					
	<b>✓</b>		At building	g			
			At ROW	not req'd, but exist in older areas & installed w/ lateral rep'lments			
			At easeme	nt			

## 3. Lateral Program Description

Type of Lateral Program (check all that apply and describe program – try to be brief in program description, but add separate sheets as needed). If utility operates more than one private lateral program, it may be preferable to complete a separate questionnaire form for the remaining questions for each of those private lateral programs.
Lateral Maintenance (e.g., cleaning, root control, etc.):
Lateral Repair (e.g., point repairs, etc.):
Lateral Replacement:
When a customer calls with a lateral problem, the City will investigate the cause of the problem and the lateral condition.
A condition grade is assigned based on the investigation. The lateral age, material and condition grade are then used to
Decide whether or not the lateral needs to be replaced. The program was initially designed as an I/I control project.
As part of the lateral replacement project, downspouts that are connected to the sanitary sewer system are disconnected.
The floor drains are not disconnected because the property owner may have other basement facilities connected along
with the floor drain and the City does not want those facilities to be diverted to the storm drain system.
Lateral Reconnects (Specify conditions; e.g., when utility relocates main, etc. Specify special situations; e.g., sewers under building(s) requiring building plumbing changes or extensive lateral relocation):
The City also has a main line replacement program associated with its I/I control program. When the sewer main is
replaced, the City will also replace the public portion of the lateral. At the same time the private portion of the lateral is
inspected to assess the applicability of private lateral replacement under the Lateral Replacement program described
above.

✓ Lateral Inspections (Specify conditions; e.g., point of sale, special utility project, etc.):					
Any lateral with a stoppage or where the sewer main is being replaced will be inspected to determine age, material and					
condition grade for the Lateral Replacement project described above.					
New Connection Permitting (e.g., special coordination with Building Codes, etc.):					
✓ New Connection Enforcement Mechanisms:					
Property owners are informed of their responsibilities by a letter from the City. A 2-phased enforcement program is					
utilized. Initially the property owner is given 90 days to repair/replace the private lateral. A rebate incentive of 10% up to					
\$250 is offered. If the work is not done in 90 days, the 2 <sup>nd</sup> phase kicks in. The property owner is allowed 10 months to					
finish the work with a \$50/mth + interest penalty. The penalty is waived if the work is completed in 10 mths. Property					
in non-compliance continue to accumulate @ \$50/mth + interest and a lien is placed on the property.					
4. Lateral Program Implementation					
Implementation Date: 1997 Why implemented? Consent decree w/ the state for WWTP overflows & SSOs (e.g., consent order/decree, reduce CSOs/SSOs, obtain capacity to alleviate sewer moratorium, more cost-effective than "old" program, etc.)					
Ongoing Program?    Or End Date:    Why Ended?					
What Legal Authority was Required to Implement the Lateral Program? Resolution					
(check all that apply, inquire if electronic copy is available for virtual library; inquire if utility type [i.e., municipality vs ✓ Ordinance					
district] affects the necessary legal authority)  State Enabling Legislation					
Other (Specify)					
Electronic copy is available and will be sent.					

5. Lateral Program Fund	ling			
Who Pays: (other could include grants copy is available for virtual)	Utility	Property Owner erate income programs	Other (Specify):s, block development grants, etc.;	; inquire if electronic
Funding Description:	Utility will contribu	ute (i.e., rebate) 10% o	of the cost up to \$250 as an incent	tive to complete the
work within 90 days.				
	_			_
6. Program Construction	ı			
Who Does the Work:	Utility	Internal Forces	Utility Selects & Pays Co.	ntractor
	✓ Proper	ty Owner	Property Owner But Only	From Utility List
	Other (	(Specify:)		_
Construction Description:	The property owner	r can either do the repl	lacement themselves or hire a plu	ımber or contractor
to complete the work. Typi	cally the City repla	ces the public portion	of the lateral at the same time and	d provides a cleanout
for the property owner to co	onnect to. Approxi	mately 99% of the pro	perty owners replace the lateral in	n the same trench.
Construction Details: Are:	standard details/spe	ecifications used? N	No Are electronic copies avai	ilable?
Describe/List Details:	-			
Describe/Elst Details.				
7. Public Education/Infor	· ·			
How is Lateral Program Pu	ıblicized?	Door hangers	Are electronic copies available?	?
		Bill stuffers	Are electronic copies available?	?
		Meetings	Are electronic copies available?	?
		Brochures	Are electronic copies available?	?
		(e.g., provide propert	Are electronic copies available by owner with CCTV still shot of cone] problem, provide picture of red, etc.)	lateral interior, field
		Other (Specify)	Are electronic copies available?	?
Additional Description of M	Material(s): The pro	gram is described on t	he City's web site. There was a lo	ot of public
education/information whe	n the program starte	ed in 1997, but this wa	as largely associated with the need	d for a new treatment
plant and the resulting high	sewer rates (amon	g the highest in the na	tion at that time).	

## 8. Lessons Learned

What Would You Do Differently?	The program has evolved some since 1997, but is now working well. About 775				
laterals have been evaluated over t	he years (roughly 25% of the total laterals in the system). Perhaps 30% to 40% passed				
the inspection and did not require	replacement. The City is only dealing with 6 property owners who are not yet in				
compliance.					
What Performance Measures Are/	Were Used? Plant flows and number of non-compliant property owners.				
(e.g., plant flow reduction, CSO/SSC reduction, basement backup reduction, service call (roots, etc.,) reduction, sewer moratorium lifted, etc.,) Describe results of Lateral Program on those performance measures:					
I/I at the plant has been reduced. T	the peak flows have been shaved and those peaks occur more gradually.				
Only 6 ( $\approx 0.8\%$ ) out of the 775 late	erals inspected are not in compliance.				
Lessons Learned:					
9. Follow-Up Electronic Submit	tal				
	als to WEF Collection System Committee for Inclusion in Virtual Library? <u>yes</u> below should future follow-up reminders be needed)				
	ct (e.g., either web site address, telephone number or email address [preferably not a erns] for inclusion in the WEF Private Lateral Virtual Library)?				
	Engr. Dept. @ 503/434-7312				
WEF Tracking List of Materials:	Ordinance				
	Example notices				
	Property owner guide in Q&A format				
	Building sewer condition assessment report form				